

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF NEW AUTOMATED IMMUNOASSAY TESTS, VIDAS® ANTI-HEV IGM AND IGG ASSAYS, IN EUROPEAN AND NON-EUROPEAN POPULATIONS

Florence Abravanel ^{1,2}*, Nadège Goutagny^{3*}, Sébastien Lhomme^{1,2}, Corinne Perret³, Mathilde Chenet³, Françoise Vischi¹, Alexandre Aversenq¹, Sandrine Bourg³, Aude Chapel³, Nathalie Dehainault², Laurence Mercier³, Françoise Luciani³, Catherine Pothion³, Emile Eichenlaub³, XinXin Zhane⁴, Pierre Roques⁵, Jean-Marc Dugua³, Jacques Izopet^{1,2}

tis E, F-31300 France, ² INSERM, U1043, Centre de Physiopathologie de Toulouse Purpan, Toulouse, F-31300 France, ³ R&D Immunoassay and Clinical Affairs Rui Jin Jospital, Shanghai, China, ⁵ UMR1184: Inserm, Université Paris Sud, CEA, Fontenay-aux-Roses, France, * These authors contributed equally to this w

BACKGROUND

Hepatitis E is now recognized as the most common cause of acute viral hepatitis in the world. Hepatitis E is a disease present both in developing and developed countries. It mainly induces acute self-limiting disease (0.2-4 % mortality), but it can present fulminant forms in pregnant women and infants (10-25% mortality in developing countries) or patients with pre-existing liver disease (11% mortality). In industrialized countries, evolution towards chronic infection is seen (60% in solid-organ transplant).

to one of four main genotypes, each with a distinct geographic distribution (Figure 1). In highly endemic areas, genotypes 1 and 2 are transmitted between humans by the faecal-

CHU Toulouse, Hôpital Purpan, Laboratoire de virologie, National Reference Center for Heg Biomérieux SA, Chemin de l'Orme, Marcy l'étoile, France , ⁴ Department of Infectious Diseas

3 2 Hepatitis E virus (HEV) is a non-enveloped virus with a 7.2-kb positive-sense single-stranded RNA genome containing three open reading frames (ORFs). ORF1 encodes the nonstructural polyprotein, ORF2 encodes the virus capsid protein while ORF3 encodes a small protein involved in virion morphogenesis and release. Human HEV viruses belong V

oral route. By contrast, HEV infections that occur in industrialized countries are due to zoonotic transfer of genotypes 3 and 4. The spread of HEV infection is still underestimated, because of differences in the specificity and sensitivity of diagnostic assays, and because most infections are subclinical and asymptomatic. CLINICIAN NEEDS **MEDICAL NEEDS** Differential diagnosis of viral (non-A, non-B, non-C) and non- viral infection in patients presenting with signs of hepatitis Current diagnosis relies on: PCR (during viremic phase) IgM serology to detect the initial short-lived IgM response C HEV Diagnosis to address relevant treatment or follow-up, especially in the IgG serology to detect long-lasting IgG antibodies r to provide accurate results, main needs for serology ar case of pregnant women or immunodepressed patients Characterization of the hepatitis E infection (viremic and post-viremic A robust and automated technology A single use reagent with high sensitivity and specificity
A quantitative assay for IgG serology to further define of immune correlate Ready-to-use > Single-use test **VIDAS Anti HEV-IgM VIDAS Anti HEV-IgG** > Reliable Time to result ≤ 40min Assay characteristics and performances Assay characteristics and performances ❑ QUALITATIVE assay QUANTITATIF assav Clinical cut-off value: 1.00 Linearity of the assay in the measuring range: 0.05 to 10.00 U/mL
Clinical cut-off value : 0.56U/ml Precision: Repeatability is 4.1-9.9% and between-lot within-instrument is 7.4-13% Interferences: No interference with Hepatitis A, B, C, CMV, Dengue, Malaria, EBV and Rheumatoid factor. Interference for 1/10 sample for HIV. Precision Repeatability is 4.6-7.8% and between-lot within-instrument is 9.1-11.9%
Interferences: No interference with Hepatitis A and C. Dengue, CMV, VIH, EPU, Interferences: No interference with Hepatitis A and C, Dengue, CMV, VIH, EBV and Rheumatoid factor Interference for 1/10 sample for Hepatitis B and 3/26 samples for malaria Research Issue: Impact of the antigen on assay performances Research Issue: Impact of the antigen on assay performances **CONTEXT:** Different concurrent test have distinct antigenic format 0.9 What is the input of antigen(s) in assay performances ? CONTEXT: Literature suggests an impact of the 0 0.8 S MATERIAL: 2 prototypes VIDAS ORF2 vs ORF2+ORF3 antigenic format on diagnostic test sensibility → What is the input of ORF3 vs ORF2 antigens? MATERIAL: 2 prototypes VIDAS ORF2 vs ORF2+ORF3 (10.7 0.6 :0.7 Viremic STUDY: ROC curve analysis of sensitivity/specificity using European population with clinical signs and characterized by PCR (National 0.6 phase 0.9 STUDY: ROC curve analysis of sensitivity/specificity Reference Center for Hepatitis E) 0.4 No discri using 2 types of samples 4 0.3 403 ORF2
ORF2 + ORF3 -ORF2 113 samples with clinical symptoms (viremic phase) 0.2 0.1 CONCLUSION: ORF2+ORF3 format presents slightly better from the National Reference Center for Hepatitis E • 125 samples from the French Blood Bank, without 0.1 ORF2 + ORF3 sensitivity and specificity compared with the ORF2 format. 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1 FPF (1 - Specificity) clinical symptoms (post viremic phase). → Choice of the ORF2+3 format 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1 FPF (1 - Specificity) CONCLUSION:
CONCL 0.8 20.7 Research issue: Sensitivity towards all genotypes Post viremic Similar sensibility/specificity for samples with clinical 0.6 phase CONTEXT: For HEV, 4 genotypes were described but only 1 serotype. Are the performances of the VIDAS Anti-HEV IgM assay equivalent for samples from different original symptoms (viremic phase). TPF (Sensit 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.1 ORF2 format presents a better sensibility compared - No discriminatio - ORF2 - ORF2 + ORF3 with the ORF2+ORF3 format for post viremic sa C MATERIAL: Samples from Burkina Faso, China and Europe, tested with VIDAS® Anti-HEV IgM assay Choice of the ORF2 format Europe: 459 samples, characterized for hepatitis E by PCR and Wantai HEV IgM assay, from immunocompetent patients with clinical symptoms (National Reference Center for Hepatitis) 0 0.10.20.30.40.50.60.70.80.9 1 FPF (1 - Specificity) China: 156 samples characterized for hepatitis E using Wantai HEV IgM assay Burkina Faso: 989 samples characterized for hepatitis E using Wantai HEV IgM assay. STUDY: Analyses of Positive and Negative agreement with Wantai HEV IgM assay. CONTEXT: For HEV, 4 genotypes were described but only 1 serotype. Are the performances of the VIDAS Anti-HEV IgG assay equivalent for samples from different origin? ing samples from Viremic phase (PCR+), Post-For European samples, data were analysed in term of infectious profile, i.e cons viremic phase (PCR-, Wantai IgM+) and no recent infection (PCR-/Wantai IgM-). SMATERIAL: Samples from Burkina Faso, China and Europe, tested with VIDAS[®] Anti-HEV IgG assay. •Europe: 457 samples, characterized for hepatitis E by PCR and Wantai HEV IgG assay, from immunocompetent patients with clinical symptoms (National Reference Center for Hepatitis) Europe Viremic phase Post-viremic phase No recent infection PCR+ PCR-, Wantai IgM+ PCR-/Wantai IgM Tota China: 156 samples characterized for hepatitis E by Wantai HEV IgG assay VIDAS Anti-HEV Positive 83 42 2 127 Burkina Faso: 963 samples characterized for hepatitis E by Diapro HEV IgG assay IgN Negative 29 (*) 30. 332 **STUDY:** Analyses of Positive and Negative agreement with Wantai or Diapro HEV IgG assay 459 Total Wantai HEV IgG [IC95%] Performances % Europe ositive agreement for viremic phase [91.76 ; 99.71] % [47.54 ; 69.83] % 97.65 % Negat 11 Total 156 VIDAS Anti-HEV IgG Positive Negative 145 59.15 % Positive agreement for post-viremic phase Negative ag 99.34% [97.64:99.92]% 5 296 301 307 457 (*) These samples from patients in a post-viremic phase showed residual IgM that were not detected by the VIDAS* Anti-HEV IgM assay. 25/29 samples were positive with the VIDAS* Anti-HEV IgG assay. Total 150 % [IC95%] 96.67% [92.39;98.91]% sitive Agre Wantai HEV IgM Negative Agreement 96.42 % [93.68 : 98.20] % **Burkina Faso** China ositive Negative Total Negative Total Wantai HEV IgG Diapro HEV IgG VIDAS Anti- Positive 53 2 55 Positive 16 16 China **Burkina Faso** VIDAS Anti-Tota Positive Negative Total ositive HEV IgM Negative Negative 9 * HEV IgM Negative 100 101 973 973 93 7 94 62 338 347 Positive Positive VIDAS Anti-HEV lgG VIDAS Anti-HEV lgG 55 Negative Total 54 102 156 Total 989 989 554 616 Total 156 [IC95%] 100 Performances % Performances [[C95%] 56 Total 400 563 963 % [90.2 ; 99.7] % [IC95%] sitive Agree 98% Performances % Performances [IC95% Negative agreement [97.4 ; 99] % 98% 93.0% [86.3;96.6]% ve Agree Positive Agreement 84.50% [80.6; 87.7] 9 Negative Agreement 98% [93.1;99.5]% **Negative Agreement** 98.2% [90.6 ; 99.7] % Negative Agreement 98.40% [97.0 ; 99.2] % ⊃CONCLUSION⁻ * 7/9 and 60/62 are co tent between VIDAS and WANTAI result **⇒**CONCLUSION High Positive and Negative Agreement (>97% cases) for VIDAS Anti-HEV IgM assay with commercially High Positive and Negative Agreement (>93% cases) for VIDAS Anti-HEV IgG assay with commercially available HEV assays in European and non-European populations

available HEV assays in European and non-European populations

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the present data indicated that the VIDAS HEV IgG and IgM showed excellent clinical performance, similar to the commercially available assays, in European and non European populations. These new assays will strengthen the diagnostic arsenal for HEV infection with a RAPID (<40min) and AUTOMATED detection of anti-HEV antibodies